

Atty. Docket No. OPP031475US
Serial No: 10/748,652

Remarks

Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of original claim 4. Claim 7 has been rewritten in independent form. Claim 2 has been made dependant on claim 7. Thus, no new matter or new issues are introduced in the present amendment, and no additional claims have been presented.

The Rejection of claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The rejection of claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US 6,008,526) in view of Kao et al. (US 20030170964) has been obviated by appropriate amendment.

The Rejection of claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The rejection of claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US 6,008,526) in view of Kao et al. (US 20030170964) has been obviated by appropriate amendment.

The Rejection of claim 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The rejection of claim 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US 6,008,526) in view of Kao et al. (US 20030170964) and further in view of Wolf and Tauber (Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era, 2000, Lattice Press, Volume 1, Page 675) has been obviated by appropriate amendment.

Atty. Docket No. OPP031475US
Serial No: 10/748,652

Conclusions

In view of the above amendments and remarks, all bases for objection and rejection are overcome, and the application is in condition for allowance. Early notice to that effect is earnestly requested.

If it is deemed helpful or beneficial to the efficient prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,



Andrew D. Fortney, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 34,600

THE LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW D. FORTNEY, PH.D., P.C.

401 W. Fallbrook Avenue, Suite 204
Fresno, CA 93711-5835
(559) 432-6847

ADF:mnd